



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,201	06/30/2005	Seung-Hwan Moon	ABS-1610 US	7410
32605	7590	12/12/2008	EXAMINER	
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			MANDEVILLE, JASON M	
2033 GATEWAY PLACE				
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/509,201	MOON, SEUNG-HWAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JASON M. MANDEVILLE	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 10-15 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 10-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. **Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent **Claims 1 and 12** as well as many of the dependent claims recite the limitation “image data of an nth line.” Independent **Claims 1 and 12** both make mention of “a plurality of data lines” and “a plurality of gate lines” prior to reciting the limitation “image data of an nth line.” Thus, it is unclear which “line” or “lines” this limitation refers to. A clear and unambiguous determination of this limitation is essential to clearly and unambiguously define the structure and method of the claimed invention. It is understood and well known in the art that a liquid crystal display can be arranged in matrix form with a plurality of gate lines crossing a plurality of data lines. By not clearly defining the “nth line,” it is unclear what the comparison steps of **Claims 1 and 12** refer to. In fact, because this limitation is so broad and vague, it leaves the claims open to any number of interpretations. That is, the “comparing” of the “image data of an nth line” can broadly correspond to a comparison of adjacent pixels in the same horizontal line or a comparison of adjacent pixels in the same vertical line. Further, because the parameter “n” is not clearly

Art Unit: 2629

defined or attached to any specific structure or step, the comparison step can broadly relate to a comparison of the same pixel at the same horizontal and vertical position in the matrix at two different positions in time (i.e., frame "n" and frame "n-1", for example).

As such, it is wholly unclear what the limitation "image data of an nth line" refers to and, thus it is wholly unclear what the applicant is claiming as the invention. Thus,

**Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-15** are vague and ambiguous. For the purposes of examination, the examiner contends that the claimed comparison step can relate to any of the above mentioned interpretations of the "image data of an nth line."

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 11-15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montalbo (US 6,356,260) in view of Sekido et al. (hereinafter "Sekido" US 2003 / 0048249) and further in view of Hiraki et al. (hereinafter "Hiraki" US 6,680,722).

5. As pertaining to **Claim 1**, Montalbo discloses (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) a liquid crystal display (see Col. 1, Ln. 14-18) comprising:

a liquid crystal panel assembly (10; see Fig. 1) including a plurality of gate lines (i.e., row lines), a plurality of data lines (i.e., column lines) which intersects the gate lines (i.e., row lines; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48), and a plurality of pixels each of which is formed in an area defined by a data line (i.e., column line) of the data lines (i.e., column lines) and a gate line (i.e., row line) of the gate lines (i.e., row lines) and has a switching element (i.e., TFT) connected to the gate line (i.e., row line) and the data line (i.e., column line; again, see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48);

a gate driver (150) for supplying gate voltages (i.e., driving voltages) to the gate lines (i.e., row lines; again, see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48);

at least one data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3) for supplying data voltages corresponding to image data (i.e., pixel data) to the data lines (i.e., column lines; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-60); and

a timing controller (see (130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 and (700) of Fig. 7) for comparing (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 7, for example) image data of an nth line (i.e., current pixel data (520, 710, 720) for a current line) applied from outside and image data of an (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel data (510, 722, 729) from a previous line) stored therein and selectively providing the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel data (520, 710, 720) for a current line) to the data driver (120, 200, 320) depending on the comparison result (see Col. 6, Ln. 66-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54; also see Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9,

Art Unit: 2629

Ln. 14-67), wherein the timing controller (130, 330, 500, 700) does not provide the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel data (520, 710, 720) for the current line) to the data driver (120, 200, 320) when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel data (520, 710, 720) for a current line) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel data (510, 722, 729) from a previous line) are equal (i.e., the same; see Col. 6, Ln. 46-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54; also see Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67).

Montalbo does not explicitly disclose that the timing controller (130, 330, 500, 700) also does not provide the image data of the nth line (i.e., current image data (520, 710, 720) of the current line) to the data driver (120, 200, 320) when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., current image data (520, 710, 720) of the current line) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous image data (510, 722, 729) of the previous line) are complementary to each other. However, Montalbo does disclose that the primary goal of the invention is to reduce power consumption and electromagnetic interference by reducing the transfer of data from the timing controller to the data driver (see Col. 6, Ln. 34-65).

Sekido discloses (see Fig. 1, Fig. 3, and Fig. 5) a liquid crystal panel assembly (1; see Fig. 1) including a plurality of gate lines (5), a plurality of data lines (6) which intersects the gate lines (5), and a plurality of pixels (3) each of which is formed in an area defined by a data line (6) of the data lines (6) and a gate line (5) of the gate lines (5) and has a switching element (i.e., TFT; 4) connected to the gate line (5) and the data

line (6; see Page 2, Para. [0024]-[0025]). Further, Sekido discloses that the assembly comprises a gate driver (22; see Fig. 3) for supplying gate voltages to the gate lines (5) and at least one data driver (20; see Fig. 3) for supplying data voltages corresponding to image data to the data lines (see Page 3, Para. [0031] and [0034]). Sekido discloses a timing controller (implicit in (40, G1, G2; see Fig. 5) for comparing image data of an nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) applied from outside and image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., pixel data of the previous pixel or line) stored therein and selectively providing the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) to the data driver (i.e., 20 in Fig. 3 corresponding to 30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B of Fig. 5) depending on the comparison result (see Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0050]), wherein the timing controller (40, G1, G2; see Fig. 5) does not provide the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) to the data driver (i.e., 20 in Fig. 3 corresponding to 30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B of Fig. 5) when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., pixel data of the previous pixel or line) are complementary to each other (see Page 4, Para. [0049]-[0050]). Like Montalbo, Sekido discloses that the primary goal of the invention is to reduce power consumption and electromagnetic interference by reducing the transfer of data from a timing controller to a data driver (see Abstract and Page 1, Para. [0002]). Further, the inventions of Montalbo and Sekido are in the same field of endeavor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when then invention was made to combine the teachings of Montalbo with the teachings of Sekido.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made that the technique taught by Sekido, namely not providing the image data of the nth line to the data driver when all bits of the image data of the nth line and the image data of the (n-1)th line are complementary to each other, can be implemented in the timing controller of Montalbo. That is, implementing the teachings of Sekido in the timing controller of Montalbo constitutes the use of a known technique to improve a similar device in the same way.

In addition, while it is well known in the art that the gate lines and the data lines are insulated from each other, neither Montalbo nor Sekido explicitly state this feature. However, Hiraki discloses a liquid crystal display (see Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5) comprising: a liquid crystal panel assembly (40) including a plurality of gate lines (12), a plurality of data lines (13) which are insulated from and intersects the gate lines (12; see Col. 7, Ln. 48-53), and a plurality of pixels (herein referred to as picture elements) each of which is formed in an area defined by a data line (13) of the data lines (13) and a gate line (12) of the gate lines (12) and has a switching element (15) connected to the gate line (12) and the data line (13; Col. 7, Ln. 41-67). The teachings of Montalbo, Sekido, and Hiraki are in the same field of endeavor. Further, Hiraki provides a description of a feature common in liquid crystal displays. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to combine the teachings of Montalbo, Sekido, and Hiraki.

Art Unit: 2629

6. As pertaining to **Claim 2**, the combined teachings of Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 7 of Montalbo, for example) that the timing controller (see (130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 and (700) of Fig. 7 of Montalbo) generates an operation control signal (i.e., see (552, 562, 572) in Fig. 5 and (752, 762, 772, 792) in Fig. 7 of Montalbo) based on the comparison result (i.e., the result of comparing the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel data (520, 710, 720) of the current line) applied from outside and image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel data (510, 722, 729) of the previous line) as disclosed by Montalbo) and provides the operation control signal (i.e., see (552, 562, 572) in Fig. 5 and (752, 762, 772, 792) in Fig. 7 of Montalbo) to the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo) and the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo) is operated with a mode (see (540, 740, 780) of Montalbo), based on the operation control signal (i.e., see (552, 562, 572) in Fig. 5 and (752, 762, 772, 792) in Fig. 7 of Montalbo), selected from a holding mode ((572, 772, 792) of Montalbo) which provides data voltages corresponding to the stored image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous pixel or line data as disclosed by Montalbo; see Col. 6, Ln. 46-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 and Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo), an inverting mode (i.e., (DINV) as disclosed by Sekido; see Fig. 5 of Sekido) which provides data voltages corresponding to the inverted image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., inverted pixel data of the previous pixel or line; see Page 4, Para. [0049]-[0050] of Sekido), and an updating mode ((552, 752) of Montalbo; see

Fig. 5 of Montalbo) which provides data voltages corresponding to the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current pixel or line data as disclosed by Montalbo) provided from the timing controller (see (130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 of Montalbo; and again, see Col. 6, Ln. 46-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 and Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo).

7. As pertaining to **Claim 3**, Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 7 of Montalbo) that the timing controller (see (500) of Fig. 5 corresponding to (700) of Fig. 7 of Montalbo) can include:

a first line memory (see (710, 720) in Fig. 7 of Montalbo, for example) for storing the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's data) applied from outside;

a second line memory (see 722, 729 in Fig. 7 of Montalbo, for example) in which the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's data) applied in advance are stored (see Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo); and

a control signal generator (see (752, 762, 772, 792 of Fig. 7 of Montalbo, for example) for generating the operation control signal (i.e., 752, 762, 772, 792) after comparing the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's data; again, see Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo), wherein

the control signal generator (see (752, 762, 772, 792 of Fig. 7 of Montalbo, for example) generates:

the operation control signal (792) of a first status (i.e., a hold status) to let the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo) operate with the holding mode (i.e., repeat last line) when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's data) are equal to each other (again, see Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo);

the operation control signal (i.e., (DINV) as disclosed by Sekido; see Fig. 5 of Sekido) of a second status (i.e., a hold and invert status) to let the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo) operate with the inverting mode when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's data) are complementary to each other (see Page 4, Para. [0049]-[0050] of Sekido); and

the operation control signal (752) of a third status (i.e., an update status) to let the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo) operate with the updating mode (i.e., video data output) when at least one bit of the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's data) and at least one corresponding bit of the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's data) are not equal or complementary to each other (again, see Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo);

8. As pertaining to **Claim 5**, both Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 7 of Montalbo; and see Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 of Sekido) that timing controller (see

(130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 of Montalbo) generates an operation control signal (i.e., see (792) of Fig. 7 of Montalbo; see (DINV) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) whose status changes by 1H period (i.e., one horizontal period) by comparing the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's pixel data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's pixel data) during 1H period (i.e., during one horizontal period) and the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo; see (20) in Fig. 3 corresponding to (30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) holds, inverts, or updates the image data by 1H period (i.e., by one horizontal period; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48 and Col. 2, Ln. 8-20 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo; i.e., the pixel data is held or updated at the data driver one horizontal line at a time; also see Page 2, Para. [0029]-[0030], Page 3, Para. [0032], [0036], and [0040], and Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0043] of Sekido).

9. As pertaining to **Claim 6**, both Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 6-8 of Montalbo; and see Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 of Sekido) that timing controller (see (130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 of Montalbo) generates the operation control signal (i.e., see (752, 772, 792) of Fig. 7 of Montalbo; see (DINV) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) whose status changes as many times as the number of the data drivers (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo; see (20) in Fig. 3 corresponding to (30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) by 1H period (i.e., one horizontal period)

by comparing the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's pixel data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's pixel data) for each data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo; see (20) in Fig. 3 corresponding to (30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) during 1H period (i.e., during one horizontal period) and the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo; see (20) in Fig. 3 corresponding to (30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) holds, inverts, or updates the image data for each data driver (see Col. 1, Ln. 49-67 through Col. 2, Ln. 1-20 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo and the above mentioned figures; also see Page 2, Para. [0029]-[0030], Page 3, Para. [0031]-[0035], and Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0046] of Sekido).

10. As pertaining to **Claim 7**, both Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 6-8 of Montalbo; and see Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 of Sekido) that timing controller (see (130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 of Montalbo) generates an operation control signal (i.e., see (752, 772) of Fig. 7 of Montalbo; see (DINV) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) whose status changes as many times as the number of pixels of the line by 1H period (i.e., one horizontal period) by comparing the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's pixel data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's pixel data) for each pixel during 1H period (i.e., during one horizontal period) and the data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo; see (20) in Fig. 3

corresponding to (30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) holds, inverts, or updates the image data for each pixel (see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48 through Col. 2, Ln. 1-20 in conjunction with Col. 7, Ln. 19-67 and Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo; also see Page 2, Para. [0029]-[0030], Page 3, Para. [0031]-[0035], and Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0050] of Sekido).

11. As pertaining to **Claim 11**, Montalbo discloses (see Fig. 3c) that the image data is transmitted to the data driver by RSDS (reduced swing differential signaling; see Abstract and Col. 5, Ln. 40-51).

12. As pertaining to **Claim 12**, Montalbo discloses (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) a driving method of a liquid crystal display (see Col. 1, Ln. 14-18) which includes a plurality of gate lines (i.e., row lines), a plurality of data lines (i.e., column lines) which intersects the gate lines (i.e., row lines; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48), and a plurality of pixels each of which is formed in an area defined by a data line (i.e., column line) of the data lines (i.e., column lines) and a gate line (i.e., row line) of the gate lines (i.e., row lines) and has a switching element (i.e., TFT) connected to the gate line (i.e., row line) and the data line (i.e., column line; again, see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48), the method comprising:

providing (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3) data voltages according to image data (i.e., pixel data) to the data line (i.e., column line; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-60);

making the data voltage be applied to the pixel by providing (see (150)) a gate voltage (i.e., driving voltage) to the gate line (i.e., row line; again, see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48);

wherein the provision of data voltages includes:

comparing (see (130) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (330) of Fig. 3 corresponding to (500) of Fig. 5 and (700) of Fig. 7) image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel or line data (510, 722, 729)) provided in advance and image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel or line data (520, 710, 720)) being provided currently (see (540, 740, 780), for example; also see Col. 6, Ln. 66-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 and Col. 9, Ln. 14-67);

providing data voltages corresponding to the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel or line data (510, 722, 729)) to the data line (i.e., column line) when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel or line data (520, 710, 720)) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel or line data (510, 722, 729)) are equal to each other (again, see Col. 6, Ln. 66-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 and Col. 9, Ln. 14-67); and

providing data voltages corresponding to the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel or line data (520, 710, 720)) to the data line (i.e., column line) when at least one bit of the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel or line data (520, 710, 720)) and at least one corresponding bit of the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel or line data (510, 722, 729)) are not equal to each other (again, see Col. 6, Ln. 66-67 through Col. 7, Ln. 1-54 and Col. 9, Ln. 14-67); and

Montalbo does not explicitly disclose inverting the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous image data) and providing data voltages corresponding thereto when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current image data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous image data) are complementary to each other. Moreover, Montalbo does not explicitly state providing data voltages corresponding to the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel or line data (520, 710, 720)) to the data line (i.e., column line) when at least one bit of the image data of the nth line (i.e., current pixel or line data (520, 710, 720)) and at least one bit of the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., previous pixel or line data (510, 722, 729)) are not complementary to each other. However, Montalbo does disclose that the primary goal of the invention is to reduce power consumption and electromagnetic interference by reducing the transfer of data from the timing controller to the data driver (see Col. 6, Ln. 34-65).

Sekido discloses (see Fig. 1, Fig. 3, and Fig. 5) a method of driving a liquid crystal panel assembly (1; see Fig. 1) including a plurality of gate lines (5), a plurality of data lines (6) which intersects the gate lines (5), and a plurality of pixels (3) each of which is formed in an area defined by a data line (6) of the data lines (6) and a gate line (5) of the gate lines (5) and has a switching element (i.e., TFT; 4) connected to the gate line (5) and the data line (6; see Page 2, Para. [0024]-[0025]). Further, Sekido discloses that the assembly comprises a gate driver (22; see Fig. 3) for supplying gate voltages to the gate lines (5) and at least one data driver (20; see Fig. 3) for supplying data voltages corresponding to image data to the data lines (see Page 3, Para. [0031] and [0034]). Sekido discloses a timing controller (implicit in (40, G1, G2; see Fig. 5) for

comparing image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) applied from outside and image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., pixel data of the previous pixel or line) stored therein and selectively providing the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) to the data driver (i.e., 20 in Fig. 3 corresponding to 30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B of Fig. 5) depending on the comparison result (see Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0050]), wherein the timing controller (40, G1, G2; see Fig. 5) provides for inverting the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the pixel data of the previous pixel or line) and providing data voltages corresponding thereto when all bits of the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., pixel data of the previous pixel or line) are complementary to each other (see Page 4, Para. [0049]-[0050]); and providing data voltages corresponding to the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) to the data line when at least one bit of the image data of the nth line (i.e., pixel data of the next pixel or line) and at least one corresponding bits of the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., pixel data of the previous pixel or line) the previous image data) are not complementary to each other (again, see Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0050]). Like Montalbo, Sekido discloses that the primary goal of the invention is to reduce power consumption and electromagnetic interference by reducing the transfer of data from a timing controller to a data driver (see Abstract and Page 1, Para. [0002]). Further, the inventions of Montalbo and Sekido are in the same field of endeavor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when then invention was made to combine the teachings of Montalbo with the teachings of Sekido.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made that the technique taught by Sekido, namely not providing the image data of the nth line to the data driver when all bits of the image data of the nth line and the image data of the (n-1)th line are complementary to each other, can be implemented in the timing controller of Montalbo. That is, implementing the teachings of Sekido in the timing controller of Montalbo constitutes the use of a known technique to improve a similar device in the same way. As such, the combined teachings of Montalbo and Sekido disclose providing data voltages corresponding to the image data of the nth line to the data line when at least one bit of the image data of the nth line and at least one corresponding bit of the image data of the (n-1)th line are not equal or complementary to each other.

In addition, while it is well known in the art that the gate lines and the data lines are insulated from each other, neither Montalbo nor Sekido explicitly state this feature. However, Hiraki discloses a liquid crystal display (see Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5) comprising: a liquid crystal panel assembly (40) including a plurality of gate lines (12), a plurality of data lines (13) which are insulated from and intersects the gate lines (12; see Col. 7, Ln. 48-53), and a plurality of pixels (herein referred to as picture elements) each of which is formed in an area defined by a data line (13) of the data lines (13) and a gate line (12) of the gate lines (12) and has a switching element (15) connected to the gate line (12) and the data line (13; Col. 7, Ln. 41-67). The teachings of Montalbo, Sekido, and Hiraki are in the same field of endeavor. Further, Hiraki provides a

description of a feature common in liquid crystal displays. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to combine the teachings of Montalbo, Sekido, and Hiraki.

13. As pertaining to **Claim 13**, both Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 7 of Montalbo; and see Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 of Sekido) that the provision compares the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's pixel data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's pixel data) during 1H period (i.e., during one horizontal period; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48 and Col. 2, Ln. 8-20 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo; i.e., the pixel data is held or updated at the data driver one horizontal line at a time; also see Page 2, Para. [0029]-[0030], Page 3, Para. [0032], [0036], and [0040], and Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0043] of Sekido).

14. As pertaining to **Claim 14**, both Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 6-8 of Montalbo; and see Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 of Sekido) that the provision compares the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's pixel data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's pixel data) for each data driver (see (120) of Fig. 1 corresponding to (200) of Fig. 2 corresponding to (320) of Fig. 3 of Montalbo; see (20) in Fig. 3 corresponding to (30B, 32B, 34B, 36B, 38B) of Fig. 5 of Sekido) of the liquid crystal display during 1H period (i.e., during one horizontal period; see Col. 1, Ln. 49-67 through Col. 2, Ln. 1-20 in conjunction with Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo and the

above mentioned figures; also see Page 2, Para. [0029]-[0030], Page 3, Para. [0031]-[0035], and Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0046] of Sekido).

15. As pertaining to **Claim 15**, both Montalbo and Sekido disclose (see Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 6-8 of Montalbo; and see Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 of Sekido) that the provision compares the image data of the nth line (i.e., the current line's pixel data) and the image data of the (n-1)th line (i.e., the previous line's pixel data) for each pixel during 1H period (i.e., during one horizontal period; see Col. 1, Ln. 19-48 through Col. 2, Ln. 1-20 in conjunction with Col. 7, Ln. 19-67 and Col. 9, Ln. 14-67 of Montalbo; also see Page 2, Para. [0029]-[0030], Page 3, Para. [0031]-[0035], and Page 4, Para. [0042]-[0050] of Sekido).

16. **Claim 10** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montalbo in view of Sekido in view of Hiraki and further in view of Terukina et al. (hereinafter "Terukina" US 6,624,868).

17. As pertaining to **Claim 10**, Montalbo discloses that typical display driver circuit chips are mounted on the glass of the liquid crystal display panel (see Col. 1, Ln. 36-38). While chip on glass (COG) structures are well known in liquid crystal displays, none of Montalbo, Sekido, nor Hiraki explicitly state that the liquid crystal display has a COG (chip on glass) structure.

However, Terukina discloses a liquid crystal display with a chip-on-glass (COG) structure (see Fig. 4) in which the chips for driving the liquid crystal display panel are mounted on a glass substrate (Col. 1, Ln. 14-45). Terukina explicitly states that COG structures are well known in the art (see Col. 1, Ln. 7-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to combine the teachings of Montalbo, Sekido, and Hiraki with the teachings of Terukina as a means of applying a known technique (i.e., the COG structure) to a known device (i.e., a liquid crystal display) to yield predictable results (i.e., the improvements disclosed by Terukina; see Col. 1, Ln. 34-64).

### ***Response to Arguments***

18. Applicant's arguments filed 12 September 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. **Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-15** are pending in the application, of which **Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 12-15** have been amended. **Claims 4, 8, and 9** have been cancelled. The applicant has argued that none of the references relied upon in the prior office action, namely Montalbo (US 6,356,260), Sekido et al. (US 2003 / 0048249), Hiraki et al. (US 6,680,722), and Terukina et al. (US 6,624,868), teach or fairly suggest "a timing controller for comparing image data of an nth line applied from outside and image data of an (n-1)th line stored therein...." The examiner respectfully disagrees for

the reasons provided in the above rejections. In particular, the examiner would like to reiterate that the “image data of the nth line” and the “image data of the (n-1)th line” are open to broad interpretation (see 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection above). Further, the examiner contends that, based on the applicant’s disclosure and claimed invention, the timing controller taught by Montalbo and Sekido does indeed serve the intended purpose of the claimed timing controller in so much as comparing image data of an nth line applied from outside and image data of an (n-1)th line stored therein. Therefore, the rejection of **Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-15** is maintained.

### ***Conclusion***

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON M. MANDEVILLE whose telephone number is 571-270-3136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Mandeville  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2629

/J. M. M./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

Application/Control Number: 10/509,201

Page 23

Art Unit: 2629

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629